



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,784	08/04/2006	Massimo Malavasi	108907-00043	3967
4372	7590	06/24/2009		
ARENT FOX LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER LAUX, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT 3743	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 06/24/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com  
IPMatters@arentfox.com  
Patent\_Mail@arentfox.com



### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's submission dated 04/15/2009. Claim(s) 1-4, 6-7, 10-18, 21-28 is/are pending.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-7, 10-18, 21-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "preferably just above the dew point" renders the claim indefinite because one of ordinary skill in the art would be unable to determine the scope of applicant's claim. Appropriate action is required.

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "gasified" in claim 10 is used

Art Unit: 3743

by the claim to mean “combusted”, while the accepted meaning is “to render a combustible gas from a solid or liquid by heating in an oxygen deficient environment.”

The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Appropriate action is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-2, 7 & 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,850,288 to Hoffert et al in view of US 5,326,254 to Munk.

7. ‘288 discloses a method for the treatment of materials, in particular waste materials and refuse, in which the material to be treated and a combustion supporter comprising oxygen are supplied to a combustion reactor (15) (Col. 5, lines 61-66), and gases produced during the oxidation or combustion of the above-mentioned material are discharged from the oxidation chamber or combustion reactor (15) (Col. 6, lines 41-45), characterized in that wherein the material to be treated, which is introduced into the oxidation chamber or combustion reactor, and the products resulting from the oxidation or combustion are subjected to conditions of isothermy or quasi-isothermy at high or very high temperature (Col. 4, lines 21-23), without substantial oxygen deficit (Col. 6, lines 38-40), in any part of the reactor (15), wherein the oxidation chamber or

Art Unit: 3743

combustion reactor is operated at a pressure from greater than atmospheric pressure to 600 kPa (Col. 6, 36-38).

8. '288 fails to disclose recycled gases being supplied to the combustion reactor or water being injected into the recycled gases to raise the concentration of water in the recycled gases. '254 teaches recycling flue gases to a combustion chamber (Col. 2, lines 60-67) and water being injected into the recycled gases to raise the concentration of water in the recycled gases (Col. 1, line 66 – Col. 2, line 8). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion apparatus of '288 with the flue gas humidification/recirculation of '254 because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of reduced NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and a more efficient combustion process.

9. '288 as combined with '254 teaches the claimed invention except for the concentration of water in the recycled gases being higher than 30% per volume. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the concentration of water in the recycled gases being higher than 30% per volume, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

10. With regard to claims 2 & 7, '254 further teaches the supply of a combustion supporter comprising oxygen mixed with gases resulting from the combustion, with water, or with a combination of gases and water, to bring about a high degree of opacification of the combustion supporter and to ensure almost instantaneous heating of the combustion supporter that is supplied into the reactor (Col. 2, lines 60-67; it is

Art Unit: 3743

well-known in the art, and applicant admits on page 5 of the specification, that the addition of water or steam to the products of combustion renders them opaque to infrared), wherein the recycling gases which ensure thermal balance are constituted wholly or partially by steam (Col. 1, line 66 – Col. 2, line 8). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion apparatus of '288 with the flue gas recirculation of '254 because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of reduced NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and increased opacity to infrared, which is known to increase the overall efficiency of the combustion system.

11. With regard to claim 10, '288 further discloses a method wherein the substances which cannot be gasified inside the reactor are immediately fused in the reactor by virtue of the high rate of heating of the combustible material, in particular of its solid fraction, so as to reduce to negligible value the fraction of dust that is entrained out of the reactor with the burnt gases (applicant states in paragraph 3 on page 14 that a temperature of about 1500°C is sufficient to turn any non-combustibles into slag and the combustion apparatus of '288 discloses an operating temperature of 2700°F-2800°F (Col. 12, lines 53-57)).

12. Claims 3-4, 6 & 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '288 in view of '254 as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of US 6,848,375 to Kasin.

13. '288 as combined with '254 fails to disclose the recirculation gases from combustion are supplied at minimized flow-rate and/or temperature so as to minimize the overall volume of gas in the reactor for gas residence time in the reactor and to

Art Unit: 3743

ensure the removal of the reaction heat from the reactor, wherein the mixing of the oxygen with the combustion fumes takes place with a concentration of the latter of more than 10% by volume and preferably more than 60% by volume, wherein the recirculation gases which ensure the thermal balance of a plant that is operated continuously by removing the excess reaction heat owing to an appreciable heat enthalpy difference between the input and the output of the reactor are recycled at the minimum temperature that is compatible with normal cooling means and preferably just above the dew point. '375 teaches the recirculation gases from combustion are supplied at minimized temperature (Col. 4, lines 38-40) so as to minimize the overall volume of gas in the reactor for gas residence time in the reactor and to ensure the removal of the reaction heat from the reactor, wherein the mixing of the oxygen with the combustion fumes takes place with a concentration of the latter of more than 10% by volume and preferably more than 60% by volume (Col. 8, lines 48-54), wherein the recirculation gases which ensure the thermal balance of a plant that is operated continuously by removing the excess reaction heat owing to an appreciable heat enthalpy difference between the input and the output of the reactor are recycled at the minimum temperature that is compatible with normal cooling means and preferably just above the dew point (Col. 4, lines 38-40). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion apparatus of '288 as combined with '254 with the flue gas recirculation percentages of '375 because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of the optimal amount of exhaust

Art Unit: 3743

gas recirculation without unacceptably reducing the performance of the combustion apparatus.

14. With regard to claims 12 & 13, '288 as combined with '254 fails to disclose a MIMO (multiple input/multiple output) control and optimization procedure which is focused on the parameters at the output of the reactor and in particular on measurement of gas composition at the output of the reactor, wherein the measurements of the gas-composition data are implemented with characteristic response times of about 2 seconds. '375 teaches a MIMO (multiple input/multiple output) control and optimization procedure which is focused on the parameters at the output of the reactor and in particular on measurement of gas composition at the output of the reactor (Col. 12, lines 14-20), wherein the measurements of the gas-composition data are implemented with characteristic response times of about 2 seconds (Col. 12, lines 16-18; discloses continuous response times). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion apparatus of '288 as combined with '254 with the combustion data controller of '375 because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of an automated combustion process with ideal combustion conditions to maximize efficiency and reduce the creation of pollutants. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a response time of about 2 seconds, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.



Art Unit: 3743

15. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '288 in view of '254 as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of US 4,022,591 to Staudinger.

16. '288 as combined with '254 fails to disclose the fused slag being cooled and solidified into beads so as to ensure that toxic heavy metals contained in the incombustible slag are rendered completely inert. '591 teaches fused slag being cooled and solidified into beads (Col. 2, lines 48-53; applicant states in the first full paragraph on page 12 that the quenching in a water bath solidifies the beads, rendering them inert) so as to ensure that toxic heavy metals contained in the incombustible slag are rendered completely inert. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion apparatus of '254 as combined with '288 with the slag quencher of '591 because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of a means for disposing the liquid slag present in the combustion chamber in a safe and environmentally friendly way and would also have the added benefit of replacing the clean-out tube (17a, mistakenly as 7a in Fig. 1) of '288 with a completely sealed quench chamber which would prevent potentially dangerous splashing of the liquid slag to occur.

17. Claims 14, 16, 18, 21-23 & 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '288 in view of '254, and further in view of US 6,883,443 to Rettig et al.

18. '288 discloses an apparatus for the treatment of materials, in particular waste materials and refuse, comprising a combustion reactor (15) to which the material to be

Art Unit: 3743

treated can be supplied (Col. 5, lines 61-66) and which includes an input (18) for a combustion supporter comprising oxygen (Col. 6, lines 11-14), an output (20a) for the gases produced during the combustion of the above-mentioned material inside the reactor (15) (Col. 6, lines 41-45), characterized in that the combustion reactor (15) is substantially isothermic or quasi-isothermic in use at high or very high temperature (Col. 4, lines 21-23), and without substantial oxygen deficit (Col. 6, lines 38-40), in all of its parts, wherein the combustion reactor (15) is operated at a pressure from greater than atmospheric pressure to 600 kPa (Col. 6, lines 36-38).

19. '288 fails to disclose water being injected into recycled gases to raise the concentration of water in the recycled gases. '254 teaches water being injected into the recycled gases to raise the concentration of water in the recycled gases (Col. 1, line 66 – Col. 2, line 8). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion apparatus of '288 with the flue gas humidification/recirculation of '254 because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of reduced NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and a more efficient combustion process.

20. '288 as combined with '254 teaches the claimed invention except for the concentration of water in the recycled gases being higher than 30% per volume. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the concentration of water in the recycled gases being higher than 30% per volume, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value as a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3743

21. '288 fails to specifically disclose the quench gas being recirculated flue gas. '443 teaches the use of recirculated flue gas as a quench gas (Col. 5, line 65 – Col. 6, line 11). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion gas quenching means of '288 with the use of recirculated flue gas as the quench gas of '443 because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of an efficient and readily available means of reducing the temperature of the combustion gases to a useable level with the added benefit of not diluting or increasing the volume of the flue gases.

22. With regard to claim 16, '288 further discloses a means (30) for cooling the gases produced during combustion (Col. 8, lines 1-5). '288 fails to disclose a means for withdrawing and recycling a portion of the said cooled gases being provided for mixing the oxygen at the input to the reactor and producing a combustion-supporting mixture which is opaque to infra-red. '254 teaches a means (230) for withdrawing and recycling a portion of flue gases being provided (Col. 2, lines 60-67) for mixing the oxygen at the input to the reactor and producing a combustion-supporting mixture (Col. 2, lines 64-67) which is opaque to infra-red (it is well-known in the art, and applicant admits on page 5 of the specification, that the addition of water or steam to the products of combustion renders them opaque to infra-red). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion apparatus of '288 with the flue gas recirculation of '254 because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of reduced NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and increased opacity to infrared, which is known to increase the overall efficiency of the combustion system.

Art Unit: 3743

23. With regard to claim 18, '288 further discloses a means (30) for mixing a quench gas with the gases output from the reactor prior to entry of the gases into the cooling means (Col. 8, lines 1-5).

24. With regard to claim 21, '288 discloses the claimed invention except for a plurality of feeders for supplying different materials to the reactor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an additional number of feeders to supply materials to the reactor, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

25. With regard to claim 22, '288 further discloses at least one propulsion chamber for the pressurized and discontinuous supply of solid materials in pieces into the reactor (Col. 10, lines 35-39).

26. With regard to claim 23, '288 further discloses the propulsion chamber comprising a duct (117) for the supply of gas under pressure (Col. 10, lines 35-39). '288 fails to disclose the pressurized gas being withdrawn from the output line. '288 does, however, contemplate the use of flue gases to preheat the solid fuel in the pressurized conduit through the use of a heat exchanger (Col. 10, lines 50-54). Given the exhaust gas/steam recirculation method taught by '254, it would have been obvious to replace the indirect method of preheating the fuel with a direct injection of the flue gas/steam recirculated gases because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of a more efficient way to inject the gases and fuel and would have

Art Unit: 3743

allowed for a better mixing of the products upon entry into the combustion chamber by pre-mixing them in a fuel feed/flue gas recirculation chamber.

27. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '288 in view of US 6,145,452 to Heger et al.

28. Although '288 discloses the walls of the combustion chamber being made of a refractory material (Col. 5, lines 56-60), '288 fails to specifically disclose the walls of the reactor comprising a ceramic lining material which participates in the isothermy or quasi-isothermy of the reactor. '452 teaches walls of a reactor comprising a ceramic lining material which participates in the isothermy or quasi-isothermy of the reactor (Abstract). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion apparatus of '288 with the ceramic insulation of '452 because the ceramic insulation of '452 is capable of withstanding temperatures of over 3000°F which the combustion chamber of '452 requires and could have been used instead of the unspecified refractory material of disclosed by '452.

29. Claims 17 & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '288 in view of '254 as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of '375.

30. '288 as combined with '254 fails to disclose the cooling means comprising means for recovering energy from a high enthalpy value of the gases output from the reactor or a sensor means for measuring output parameters of the reactor, a control and management system receiving the signals of the sensor means in order substantially to improve the number of effective predictions for intervention in the operating conditions of the plant and to control fluctuations due to the non-homogeneity of the materials that

Art Unit: 3743

are supplied into the reactor. '375 teaches a cooling means comprising means (71) for recovering energy from a high enthalpy value of the gases output from the reactor (Col. 7, lines 48-50) and a sensor means for measuring output parameters of the reactor (Col. 12, lines 14-20), a control and management system receiving the signals of the sensor means in order substantially to improve the number of effective predictions for intervention in the operating conditions of the plant and to control fluctuations due to the non-homogeneity of the materials that are supplied into the reactor (Col. 12, lines 14-20). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion apparatus of '288 as combined with '254 with the control system of '375 because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of an automated combustion process with ideal combustion conditions to maximize efficiency and reduce the creation of pollutants.

31. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '288 in view of '591.

32. '288 fails to disclose a reactor comprising a base portion communicating with and inclined towards a heated duct for collecting fluid slag, wherein the collecting duct communicates with a container for collecting the fluid slag which is cooled rapidly in a water bath with the formation of solid beads so as to form a dilute slurry, wherein the collecting duct comprises heating means for keeping the slag fluid. '591 teaches a reactor (10) comprising a base portion (12) communicating with and inclined towards a heated duct (10b) for collecting fluid slag (Col. 3, lines 25-38), wherein the collecting duct (10b) communicates with a container (12) for collecting the fluid slag which is

Art Unit: 3743

cooled rapidly in a water bath with the formation of solid beads so as to form a dilute slurry (Col. 3, lines 25-38), wherein the collecting duct (10b) comprises heating means for keeping the slag fluid (Col. 3, lines 25-29). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the combustion apparatus of '288 with the quench chamber of '591 because such a combination would have produced the added benefit of a means for disposing the liquid slag present in the combustion chamber in a safe and environmentally friendly way and would also have the added benefit of replacing the clean-out tube (17a, mistakenly as 7a in Fig. 1) of '288 with a completely sealed quench chamber which would prevent potentially dangerous splashing of the liquid slag to occur.

### ***Conclusion***

33. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3743

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Laux whose telephone number is (571) 270-7619. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. J. L./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3743

June 10, 2009

/Kenneth B Rinehart/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3743